## ABC Distribution Based on People with Special Transportation Needs

	Persons Per Square	Rural	People	% under	People		Total Persons With Disabilities		Living in	% in	Total Ranking				
RTPO	Mile	Population		18	over 65	% over 65		Age 5+	Poverty	Poverty	Spots	Α	В	С	D
BF-WW	58.6	93,451	72,997	29.6%	27,029	10.9%	43,129	19.3%	31,364	12.7%	18	6	6	6	⊂
CWCOG	38.1	194,722	65,757	25.9%	38,797	15.3%	54,955	23.4%	36,167	14.3%	19	7	6	6	⊒
NEW	9.7	59,058	16,532	28.0%	7,825	13.2%	11,976	21.7%	9,779	16.6%	15	5	5	5	nlimited
PALOUSE	15.6	48,381	14,550	21.4%	8,374	12.3%	10,491	16.5%	13,000	19.1%	13	5	4	4	ed
PENINSULA	75.7	166,547	92,991	25.0%	51,910	14.0%	65,463	19.8%	36,041	9.7%	17	6	6	5	1
PSRC	516.4	244,247	747,354	24.6%	308,796	10.1%	476,588	17.0%	254,886	8.4%	14	5	5	4	1
QUADCO	14.6	134,672	38,985	28.9%	16,128	12.0%	24,156	19.6%	23,142	17.2%	16	6	5	5	1 1
SKAGIT/IS	89.8	123,363	45,325	26.0%	25,245	14.5%	28,863	18.6%	16,139	9.2%	14	5	5	4	1
SW WA	90.1	89,830	106,797	28.5%	36,538	9.8%	61,019	17.8%	35,544	9.5%	14	5	5	4	1
SRC/Spokane	236.9	83,138	107,500	25.7%	51,949	12.4%	72,669	19.1%	49,859	11.9%	14	5	5	4	1
THURSTON	285.2	63,529	52,527	25.3%	23,629	11.4%	35,842	18.8%	17,992	8.7%	10	4	3	3	
WEN VALLEY	13.9	83,358	39,184	28.2%	18,937	13.6%	24,781	19.4%	21,098	15.2%	16	6	5	5	Unlimited
WHATCOM	78.7	82,502	40,247	24.1%	19,400	11.6%	24,106	15.5%	23,003	13.8%	12	4	4	4	≝.
YVCOG	51.8	109,765	70,751	31.8%	24,921	11.2%	44,663	22.2%	43,070	19.4%	18	6	6	6	ed

is

is high need +2 is low need +1

## **Assumptions**

2000 Census Data Used for the purposes of comparison

For the Purposes of the ranking process, it may make sense for Kitsap to rank with Peninsula Include Youth In Final Formula

Three Large Urbans get their JARC money (Poverty on Chart) directly, so are automatically low need Rural Population calculated by subtracting Highway Urban Area population Palouse Includes Lewis and Clark MPO

210